



ELSEVIER

Linear Algebra and its Applications 294 (1999) 181–192

LINEAR ALGEBRA  
AND ITS  
APPLICATIONS

www.elsevier.com/locate/laa

# Asymptotic distribution of the spectra of a class of generalized Kac–Murdock–Szegő matrices

William F. Trench \*

413 Lake Drive West, Divide, CO 80814, USA

Received 12 February 1999; accepted 18 March 1999

Submitted by R.A. Brualdi

## Abstract

We consider symmetric Toeplitz matrices  $T_n = (t_{|r-s|})_{r,s=1}^n$  with  $t_r = \alpha\rho^r + \beta/\rho^r$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real and  $0 < \rho < 1$ . We give formulas for  $\det(T_n)$  and  $T_n^{-1}$ , and show that if  $\alpha - \beta = 1$  and  $\beta \neq 0$  then  $T_n$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_{1n} < \lambda_{2n} < \dots < \lambda_{nn}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{1n} = -\infty$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{nn} = \infty$ , and  $\{\lambda_{2n}, \dots, \lambda_{n-1,n}\}$  are equally distributed as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  with values of

$$F(\theta) = \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 - 2\rho \cos \theta + \rho^2}$$

at  $n - 2$  equally spaced points in  $[0, \pi]$ . © 1999 Elsevier Science Inc. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Toeplitz matrix; Kac–Murdock–Szegő matrix; Eigenvalues; Asymptotic distribution

## 1. Introduction

The Kac–Murdock–Szegő (KMS) matrices [4] are symmetric Toeplitz matrices

$$K_n(\rho) = (\rho^{|r-s|})_{r,s=1}^n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

\* Tel.: +719-687-2109; e-mail: wtrench@trinity.edu

where  $0 < \rho < 1$ . Their entries come from the Fourier coefficients of

$$F(\theta) = \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 - 2\rho \cos \theta + \rho^2}; \quad (1)$$

specifically,  $F(\theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho^{|n|} e^{in\theta}$ . It is known [3, Section 7.2, Problems 12 and 13] that

$$K_n^{-1}(\rho) = \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\rho & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\rho & 1 + \rho^2 & -\rho & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\rho & 1 + \rho^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 + \rho^2 & -\rho & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\rho & 1 + \rho^2 & -\rho \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\rho & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From [5, pp. 69 and 70], the eigenvalues of  $K_n(\rho)$  are

$$\lambda_{kn} = \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 - 2\rho \cos \theta_{kn} + \rho^2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where

$$\frac{(k-1)\pi}{n+1} < \theta_{kn} < \frac{k\pi}{n+1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

(See [7] for more on this.) This illustrates a theorem of Szegő [5, ch. 5] (see also [9]) which implies that if  $\{c_r\}_{r=-\infty}^{\infty}$  are the Fourier coefficients of a bounded real-valued even function  $f \in L[-\pi, \pi]$  then the spectra of the symmetric Toeplitz matrices  $T_n = (c_{r-s})_{r,s=1}^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , are equally distributed in the sense of Weyl [5, p. 62] with values of  $f$  at  $n$  equally spaced points in  $[0, \pi]$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In fact, a proof like that of Theorem 4 shows that if

$$\psi_{kn} = F\left(\frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2n+2}\right), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and  $G$  is any function continuous on  $[m, M]$ , where

$$m = \frac{1 - \rho}{1 + \rho} = \min_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi} F(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad M = \frac{1 + \rho}{1 - \rho} = \max_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi} F(\theta), \quad (2)$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |G(\lambda_{kn}) - G(\psi_{kn})| = 0.$$

This is stronger than the result implied by Szegő's theorem, since  $\{\lambda_{kn}\}$  and  $\{\psi_{kn}\}$  are equally distributed in Weyl's sense as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [G(\lambda_{kn}) - G(\psi_{kn})] = 0$$

(no absolute values) for all  $G$  continuous on  $[m, M]$ .

Szegő's theorem also settles the problem of asymptotic distribution of the spectra of the generalized KMS matrices  $T_n = (t_{r-s})_{r,s=1}^n$  with  $t_r = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \rho_j^r$ , where  $c_1, \dots, c_k$  are real and  $0 < \rho_j < 1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . However, if  $\rho_j > 1$  for some  $j$  then  $\sum_{r=1}^\infty |t_r| = \infty$ , so Szegő's theorem does not apply, and numerical experiments indicate that the asymptotic distribution of the spectra in  $\{T_n\}$  is much more complicated.

In this paper we consider the class of generalized KMS matrices

$$T_n = \alpha K_n(\rho) + \beta K_n(1/\rho), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real and  $0 < \rho < 1$ ; thus,  $T_n = (t_{|r-s|})_{r,s=1}^n$ , where

$$t_r = \alpha \rho^r + \beta \rho^{-r}, \quad r = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \quad (3)$$

We give a formula for  $T_n^{-1}$  and use it to show that if  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and  $\beta \neq 0$  then the largest and smallest eigenvalues of  $T_n$  tend to  $\pm\infty$ , while the other  $n-2$  eigenvalues of  $T_n$  are equally distributed as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  – in the stronger sense mentioned above – with values of  $(\alpha - \beta)F$  (see (1)) at  $n-2$  equally spaced points in  $[0, \pi]$ . We believe that this is an interesting – though apparently isolated – example of a family of symmetric Toeplitz matrices whose spectra have predictable “tame” or “Szegő-like” components and “wild” or “un-Szegő-like” components.

## 2. Determinants and inverses

From (3),

$$t_{r+1} - (\rho + 1/\rho)t_r + t_{r-1} = 0, \quad -\infty < r < \infty. \quad (4)$$

Let  $a = \rho + 1/\rho$  and

$$A_n = \begin{bmatrix} a & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & a & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & a & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & a & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & a \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Since

$$\det(A_n) - (\rho + 1/\rho) \det(A_{n-1}) + \det(A_{n-2}) = 0,$$

$$\det(A_n) = c_1 \rho^{n-1} + c_2 \rho^{-n+1}, \quad n \geq 1 \quad (c_1, c_2 \text{ independent of } n).$$

Determining  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  from the initial conditions

$$\det(A_1) = \rho + 1/\rho \quad \text{and} \quad \det(A_2) = (\rho + 1/\rho)^2 - 1$$

yields

$$\det(A_n) = \frac{(1 - \rho^{2n+2})}{\rho^n(1 - \rho^2)}. \quad (6)$$

**Theorem 1.** *The matrix  $T_n = \alpha K_n(\rho) + \beta K_n(1/\rho)$  is nonsingular if and only if*

$$\rho \neq 0, \quad \rho \neq \pm 1, \quad \alpha \neq \beta, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^2 - \alpha^2 \rho^{2n-2} \neq 0. \quad (7)$$

**Proof.** We will find  $\det(T_n)$ . Since

$$K_n(\rho)A_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\rho & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \rho^n \\ \rho^2 & 1/\rho - \rho & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \rho^{n-1} \\ \rho^3 & 0 & 1/\rho - \rho & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \rho^{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \rho^{n-2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1/\rho - \rho & 0 & \rho^3 \\ \rho^{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1/\rho - \rho & \rho^2 \\ \rho^n & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1/\rho \end{bmatrix},$$

$$T_n A_n = \begin{bmatrix} t_{-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & t_n \\ t_2 & t_{-1} - t_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & t_{n-1} \\ t_3 & 0 & t_{-1} - t_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & t_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ t_{n-2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & t_{-1} - t_1 & 0 & t_3 \\ t_{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & t_{-1} - t_1 & t_2 \\ t_n & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & t_{-1} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

If  $t_{-1} \neq 0$  we can add multiples of the first row of  $\det(T_n A_n)$  to the other rows to reduce it to upper triangular form. This yields

$$\det(T_n A_n) = (t_{-1} - t_1)^{n-2} [t_{-1}^2 - t_n^2].$$

Substituting from (3) yields

$$\det(T_n A_n) = -(\alpha - \beta)^{n-2} \rho^{-3n+2} (1 - \rho^2)^{n-2} (1 - \rho^{2n+2}) (\beta^2 - \alpha^2 \rho^{2n-2}).$$

This and (6) imply that

$$\det(T_n) = -(\alpha - \beta)^{n-2} \rho^{-2n+2} (1 - \rho^2)^{n-1} (\beta^2 - \alpha^2 \rho^{2n-2}).$$

This also holds if  $t_{-1} = 0$ , since both sides are continuous for all  $(\alpha, \beta, \rho)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *If (7) holds then*

$$T_n^{-1} = \frac{\rho}{(\alpha - \beta)(1 - \rho^2)} \begin{bmatrix} a_n & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & b_n \\ -1 & a & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & a & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & a & -1 \\ b_n & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & a_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$a_n = \frac{\rho(\beta^2 - \alpha^2 \rho^{2n-4})}{\beta^2 - \alpha^2 \rho^{2n-2}} \quad \text{and} \quad b_n = \frac{\alpha \beta \rho^{n-2} (1 - \rho^2)}{\beta^2 - \alpha^2 \rho^{2n-2}}. \quad (10)$$

**Proof.** We first note that

$$t_{-1} - t_1 = \frac{(\alpha - \beta)(1 - \rho^2)}{\rho} \neq 0. \quad (11)$$

From (8),

$$(T_n A_n)^{-1} = \frac{1}{t_{-1} - t_1} \begin{bmatrix} s_{1n} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & s_{nn} \\ s_{2n} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & s_{n-1,n} \\ s_{3n} & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & s_{n-2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_{n-2,n} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & s_{3n} \\ s_{n-1,n} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & s_{2n} \\ s_{nn} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & s_{1n} \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

if

$$t_{-1}s_{1n} + t_n s_{nn} = t_{-1} - t_1, \quad t_n s_{1n} + t_{-1} s_{nn} = 0$$

and

$$s_{rn} = \frac{s_{1n} t_r + s_{nn} t_{n-r+1}}{t_1 - t_{-1}}, \quad r = 2, \dots, n-1.$$

This and (4) imply that

$$s_{r-1,n} - as_{rn} + s_{r+1,n}, \quad r = 3, \dots, n-2. \quad (13)$$

Since  $T_n^{-1} = A_n(T_n A_n)^{-1}$ , (5) and (12) imply that

$$T_n^{-1} = A_n(T_n A_n)^{-1} = \frac{1}{t_{-1} - t_1} \begin{bmatrix} u_{1n} & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & u_{nn} \\ u_{2n} & a & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & u_{n-1,n} \\ u_{3n} & -1 & a & \cdots & 0 & 0 & u_{n-2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_{n-2,n} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a & 0 & u_{3n} \\ u_{n-1,n} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & a & u_{2n} \\ u_{nn} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & u_{1n} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$u_{rn} = \frac{s_{r-1,n} - as_{rn} + s_{r+1,n}}{t_1 - t_{-1}}, \quad r = 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Therefore (13) implies that  $u_{rn} = 0$ ,  $r = 3, \dots, n-2$ . Since  $(T_n^{-1} T_n)_{21} = (T_n^{-1} T_n)_{n-1,1} = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 u_{2n} + t_{n-1} u_{n-1,n} &= -at_1 + t_2 = -t_0, \\ t_{n-1} u_{2n} + t_0 u_{n-1,n} &= -at_{n-2} + t_{n-3} = -t_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $u_{2n} = -1$  and  $u_{n-1,n} = 0$ . Since  $(T_n^{-1} T_n)_{11} = 1$  and  $(T_n^{-1} T_n)_{1n} = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 u_{1n} + t_{n-1} u_{nn} &= t_{-1} \\ t_{n-1} u_{1n} + t_0 u_{nn} &= t_{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$u_{1n} = \frac{t_0 t_{-1} - t_{n-2} t_{n-1}}{t_0^2 - t_{n-1}^2} = \frac{\rho(\beta^2 - \alpha^2 \rho^{2n-4})}{\beta^2 - \alpha^2 \rho^{2n-2}}$$

and

$$u_{nn} = \frac{t_0 t_{n-2} - t_{-1} t_{n-1}}{t_0^2 - t_{n-1}^2} = \frac{\alpha \beta \rho^{n-2} (1 - \rho^2)}{\beta^2 - \alpha^2 \rho^{2n-2}}.$$

This, (11) and (13) imply (9) and (10).  $\square$

### 3. Spectral properties

From [1,2], an  $n \times n$  symmetric Toeplitz matrix has  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$  symmetric eigenvectors  $[x_1 x_2 \dots x_n]^T$  satisfying  $x_{n-r+1} = x_r$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  skew-

symmetric eigenvectors satisfying  $x_{n-r+1} = -x_r$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, n$ . We say that an eigenvalue associated with a symmetric (skew-symmetric) eigenvector is *even* (*odd*).

**Theorem 3.** *If  $\alpha - \beta = 1$ ,  $\beta \neq 0$ , and  $n$  is sufficiently large, then the eigenvalues  $\lambda_{1n} < \lambda_{2n} < \dots < \lambda_{nn}$  of  $T_n$  have the following properties:*

(a) *For  $k = 2, 3, \dots, n-2$ ,  $\lambda_{kn} = F(\theta_{kn})$  where*

$$\frac{(k-1)\pi}{n-1} < \theta_{kn} < \frac{k\pi}{n-1}. \quad (15)$$

(b)  *$\lambda_{kn}$  alternates between even and odd for  $k = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$ , with  $\lambda_{n-1,n}$  even.*

(c)  *$\lambda_{1n}$  is even if  $\beta < 0$ , odd if  $\beta > 0$ ; in either case  $\lambda_{1n} < 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{1n} = -\infty$ .*

(d)  *$\lambda_{nn}$  is odd if  $\beta < 0$ , even if  $\beta > 0$ ; in either case  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{nn} = \infty$ .*

**Proof.** If (7) holds then  $T_n$  and

$$B_n = \frac{(1 - \rho^2)}{\rho} T_n^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_n & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & b_n \\ -1 & a & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & a & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & a & -1 \\ b_n & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

have the same eigenvectors. Moreover, if  $\gamma$  is an eigenvalue of  $B_n$  then

$$\lambda = \frac{1 - \rho^2}{\rho\gamma} \quad (16)$$

is an eigenvalue of  $T_n$ .

If  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}$  satisfy the difference equation

$$-x_{r-1} + (a - \gamma)x_r - x_{r+1} = 0, \quad 1 \leq r \leq n, \quad (17)$$

and the boundary conditions

$$(a - a_n)x_1 - b_n x_n = x_0, \quad -b_n x_1 + (a - a_n)x_n = x_{n+1}, \quad (18)$$

then  $x = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_n]^T$  satisfies  $B_n x = \gamma x$ . Therefore,  $\gamma$  is an eigenvalue of  $B_n$  if and only if (17) has a nontrivial solution satisfying (18), in which case  $x$  is a  $\gamma$ -eigenvector of  $B_n$ .

The solutions of (17) are

$$x_r = c_1 \zeta^r + c_2 \zeta^{-r}, \quad (19)$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are constants and  $\zeta$  is a zero of the reciprocal polynomial

$$p(z) = z^2 - (a - \gamma)z + 1.$$

Since

$$p(z) = (z - \zeta)(z - 1/\zeta) = z^2 - (\zeta + 1/\zeta)z + 1,$$

if (19) determines an eigenvector of  $B_n$  then the corresponding eigenvalue of  $B_n$  is

$$\gamma = a - \zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta}. \quad (20)$$

In seeking symmetric eigenvectors of  $T_n$  we rewrite (19) as

$$x_r = c(\zeta^r + \zeta^{n-r+1}). \quad (21)$$

Now  $x_n = x_1$  and  $x_{n+1} = x_0$ , so (18) reduces to  $(a - a_n - b_n)x_1 = x_0$ , and (21) defines an eigenvector of  $T_n$  if and only if  $P_n(\zeta) = 0$ , with

$$P_n(\zeta) = \sigma_n(\zeta + \zeta^n) - 1 - \zeta^{n+1} \quad (22)$$

and

$$\sigma_n = a - a_n - b_n = \frac{\beta + \alpha\rho^{n+1}}{\rho(\beta + \alpha\rho^{n-1})}. \quad (23)$$

In seeking skew symmetric eigenvectors of  $T_n$  we rewrite (19) as

$$x_r = c(\zeta^r - \zeta^{n-r+1}). \quad (24)$$

Now  $x_n = -x_1$  and  $x_{n+1} = -x_0$ , so (18) reduces to  $(a - a_n + b_n)x_1 = x_0$ . Therefore (24) defines an eigenvector of  $T_n$  if and only if  $Q_n(\zeta) = 0$ , where

$$Q_n(\zeta) = \tau_n(\zeta - \zeta^n) - 1 + \zeta^{n+1} \quad (25)$$

and

$$\tau_n = a - a_n + b_n = \frac{\beta - \alpha\rho^{n+1}}{\rho(\beta - \alpha\rho^{n-1})}. \quad (26)$$

Since  $\beta \neq 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n = \frac{1}{\rho} > 1. \quad (27)$$

Now define

$$C_n(\theta) = \sigma_n \cos(n-1)\theta/2 - \cos(n+1)\theta/2, \quad (28)$$

$$S_n(\theta) = \tau_n \sin(n-1)\theta/2 - \sin(n+1)\theta/2. \quad (29)$$



Then  $P_n(e^{i\theta}) = 0$  if  $C_n(\theta) = 0$  and  $Q_n(e^{i\theta}) = 0$  if  $S_n(\theta) = 0$ . In either case, (16) and (20) imply that  $F(\theta)$  is an eigenvalue of  $T_n$ .

Because of (27) there is an  $n_0$  such that  $\sigma_n > 1$  and  $\tau_n > 1$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . Let  $\phi_{jn} = j\pi/(n-1)$ . Rewriting (28) and (29) as

$$C_n(\theta) = (\sigma_n - \cos \theta) \cos(n-1)\theta/2 + \sin \theta \sin(n-1)\theta/2,$$

$$S_n(\theta) = (\tau_n - \cos \theta) \sin(n-1)\theta/2 - \sin \theta \cos(n-1)\theta/2$$

shows that if  $n \geq n_0$  then  $C_n$  changes sign on  $(\phi_{2k-1,n}, \phi_{2k,n})$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, [n/2] - 1$ , and  $S_n$  changes sign on  $(\phi_{2k,n}, \phi_{2k+1,n})$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, [n/2] - 1$ . Since  $F$  is decreasing, this proves (a) and (b) if (c) and (d) are true for  $n$  sufficiently large, which we will now prove.

From (22) and (23), and our assumption that  $\alpha - \beta = 1$ ,

$$P_n(\rho) = \frac{\rho^2 - 1}{\alpha + \beta\rho^{-n+1}},$$

so there is an  $n_1 \geq n_0$  such that  $\beta P_n(\rho) < 0$  for  $n \geq n_1$ . Now suppose  $n \geq n_1$ . Since  $P_n(0) = -1$  and  $P_n(1) = 2(\sigma_n - 1) > 0$ ,  $P_n$  has a zero  $\xi_n$  in  $(\rho, 1)$  if  $\beta > 0$ , or in  $(0, \rho)$  if  $\beta < 0$ . In either case

$$\mu_n = \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 - \rho(\xi_n + 1/\xi_n) + \rho^2}$$

is an even eigenvalue of  $T_n$ , and it is not in  $\{F(\theta) \mid 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi\}$ , since  $\xi_n + 1/\xi_n > 2$ . If  $\beta > 0$  then  $\mu_n > 0$  (since  $\xi > \rho$ ), so  $\mu_n$  is the largest eigenvalue of  $T_n$ . If  $\beta < 0$  then  $\mu_n < 0$ , so  $\mu_n$  is the smallest eigenvalue of  $T_n$ . Since

$$\xi_n = \frac{1 + \xi_n^{n+1}}{\sigma_n(1 + \xi_n^{n-1})} < \frac{1}{\sigma_n}, \quad (30)$$

(27) implies that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n \leq \rho < 1$ . Now letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (30) shows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n = \rho$ . Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mu_n| = \infty$ .

Now consider

$$R_n(\zeta) = Q_n(\zeta)/(1 - \zeta) = \zeta\tau_n(1 + \zeta + \dots + \zeta^{n-2}) - (1 + \zeta + \dots + \zeta^n).$$

From (25) and (26) and our assumption that  $\alpha - \beta = 1$ ,

$$Q_n(\rho) = \frac{\rho^2 - 1}{\alpha - \beta\rho^{-n+1}},$$

so there is an  $n_2 \geq n_1$  such that  $\beta R_n(\rho) > 0$  for  $n \geq n_2$ . Now choose  $n_3 \geq n_2$  so that  $R_n(1) = (n-1)\tau_n - (n+1) > 0$  for  $n \geq n_3$ . Suppose  $n \geq n_3$ . Since  $R_n(0) = -1$ ,  $R_n$  has a zero  $\eta_n$  in  $(0, \rho)$  if  $\beta > 0$ , or in  $(\rho, 1)$  if  $\beta < 0$ . In either case

$$v_n = \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 - \rho(\eta_n + 1/\eta_n) + \rho^2}$$

is an odd eigenvalue of  $T_n$ , and it is not in  $\{F(\theta) \mid 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi\}$ , since  $\eta_n + 1/\eta_n > 2$ . If  $\beta > 0$  then  $v_n < 0$  (since  $\eta_n < \rho$ ), so  $v_n$  is the smallest eigenvalue of  $T_n$ . If  $\beta < 0$  then  $v_n > 0$  (since  $\xi_n < \rho$ ), so  $v_n$  is the largest eigenvalue of  $T_n$ . Since

$$\eta_n = \frac{1 - \eta_n^{n+1}}{\sigma_n(1 - \eta_n^{n-1})} < \frac{n+1}{(n-1)\sigma_n}, \quad (31)$$

(27) implies that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n \leq \rho < 1$ . Now letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (31) shows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n = \rho$ . Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |v_n| = \infty$ .  $\square$

Note that in the limiting case where  $\rho = 1$  the situation is quite different, since then  $\lambda = 0$  is an eigenvalue with multiplicity  $n-1$  and  $\lambda_{nn} = n(\alpha + \beta)$  is the only nonzero eigenvalue, assuming that  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let*

$$\chi_{kn} = F\left(\frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2n-2}\right), \quad 2 \leq k \leq n-1. \quad (32)$$

*If  $G$  is any continuous function on  $[m, M]$  (see (2)) then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} |G(\lambda_{kn}) - G(\chi_{kn})| = 0. \quad (33)$$

**Proof.** From (15) and (32) and the mean value theorem

$$|\lambda_{kn} - \chi_{kn}| \leq \frac{K\pi}{2n-2}, \quad (34)$$

where  $K = \max_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi} |F'(\theta)|$ . Let

$$W_n(G) = \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} |G(\lambda_{kn}) - G(\chi_{kn})|.$$

If  $G$  is constant then  $W_n(G) = 0$ . If  $N$  is positive integer then (34) and the mean value theorem imply that

$$|\lambda_{kn}^N - \chi_{kn}^N| \leq NM^{N-1} |\lambda_{kn} - \chi_{kn}| \leq \frac{NM^{N-1}K\pi}{2n-2},$$

so (33) holds if  $G$  is a polynomial.

Now suppose  $G$  is an arbitrary continuous function on  $[m, M]$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. From the Weierstrass approximation theorem, there is a polynomial  $P$  such that  $|G(u) - P(u)| < \epsilon$  for all  $u$  in  $[\alpha, \beta]$ . Therefore  $W_n(G) < W_n(P) + 2n\epsilon$ , and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_n(G)}{n} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_n(P)}{n} + 2\epsilon = 2\epsilon.$$

Now let  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  to conclude that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_n(G)/n = 0$ .  $\square$

#### 4. The case where $\alpha = \beta$

For completeness we now consider the case where  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ . From (8) and (11) we see that  $\lambda = 0$  is an eigenvalue of multiplicity  $n - 2$ . Since

$$t_r = \rho^{|r-s|} + \rho^{-|r-s|} = \rho^{r-s} + \rho^{s-r}, \quad (35)$$

the vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -a \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -a \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ -a \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

form a basis for the null space of  $T_n$ . We will show that the vectors  $u = [u_1 \ u_2 \ \dots \ u_n]^T$  and  $v = [v_1 \ v_2 \ \dots \ v_n]^T$  with

$$u_r = \rho^{r-(n+1)/2} + \rho^{-r+(n+1)/2} \quad \text{and} \quad v_r = \rho^{r-(n+1)/2} - \rho^{-r+(n+1)/2},$$

are eigenvectors associated with the nonzero eigenvalues, which we will compute.

It is straightforward to verify that

$$t_{r-s} = \frac{u_r u_s - v_r v_s}{2}$$

(see (35)) and  $(u, v) = 0$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{s=1}^n t_{r-s} u_s = \frac{\|u\|^2 u_r}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{s=1}^n t_{r-s} v_s = -\frac{\|v\|^2 v_r}{2}, \quad r = 1, \dots, n,$$

so  $u$  is an eigenvector associated with

$$\lambda_{nn} = \frac{\|u\|^2}{2} = n + \frac{\rho^{-n+1} - \rho^{n+1}}{1 - \rho^2}$$

and  $v$  is an eigenvector associated with

$$\lambda_{1n} = -\frac{\|v\|^2}{2} = n - \frac{\rho^{-n+1} - \rho^{n+1}}{1 - \rho^2}.$$

Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{nn} = \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{1n} = -\infty$ . Since  $u$  is symmetric and  $v$  is skew symmetric,  $\lambda_{nn}$  is even and  $\lambda_{1n}$  is odd.

### Acknowledgement

This research was stimulated by comments of Professor R.A. Horn on the author's solution [8] of an ILAS *IMAGE* problem [6]. The author thanks Professor Albrecht Böttcher for helpful suggestions that improved the manuscript.

### References

- [1] A.L. Andrew, Eigenvectors of certain matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 7 (1973) 151–162.
- [2] A. Cantoni, F. Butler, Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of symmetric centrosymmetric matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 13 (1976) 275–288.
- [3] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [4] M. Kac, W.L. Murdock, G. Szegő, On the eigenvalues of certain Hermitian forms, *J. Rat. Mech. and Anal.* 2 (1953) 787–800.
- [5] U. Grenander, G. Szëgo, *Toeplitz Forms and Their Applications*, University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1958.
- [6] E. Spedicato, Problem 20-2 eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a patterned matrix, *IMAGE (Bull. Internat. Lin. Alg. Soc.)* 20 (1998) 32.
- [7] W.F. Trench, Numerical solution of the eigenvalue problem for symmetric rationally generated Toeplitz matrices, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 9 (1988) 291–303.
- [8] W.F. Trench, solution of [6], *IMAGE (Bull. Internat. Lin. Alg. Soc.)* 21 (1998) 23–25.
- [9] H. Widom, Toeplitz matrices, in: I.I. Hirschman (Eds.), *Studies in Complex Analysis*, Studies in Mathematics, Math. Assoc. Am. 3 (1965) 179–201.